

1 JAMES E. GIBBONS (*pro hac vice*)  
Cal. State Bar No. 130631  
2 **MANNING & KASS**  
**ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP**  
3 801 South Figueroa Street, 15th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
4 Tel. (213) 624-6900  
jeg@manningllp.com

6 ROBERT W. COHEN (*pro hac vice*)  
Cal. State Bar No. 150310  
7 MARIKO TAENAKA (*pro hac vice*)  
Cal. State Bar No. 273895  
8 **LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT W. COHEN, A.P.C.**  
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1900  
9 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Tel. (310) 282-7586  
10 rwc@robertwcohenlaw.com  
mt@robertwcohenlaw.com

11 || Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SHIGE TAKIGUCHI, FUMI NONAKA,  
15 MITSUAKI TAKITA, TATSURO SAKAI,  
SHIZUKO ISHIMORI, YUKO NAKAMURA,  
16 MASAAKI MORIYA, HATSUNE HATANO, and  
17 HIDENAO TAKAMA, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarity situated,

18 Plaintiff,

19 || v.

20 MRI INTERNATIONAL, INC., EDWIN J.  
21 FUJINAGA, JUNZO SUZUKI, PAUL MUSASHI  
SUZUKI, LVT, INC., dba STERLING ESCROW,  
and DOES 1-500,

## Defendants.

| Case No.: 2:13-cv-01183-HDM-NJK

**ORDER AND STIPULATION FOR  
THIRD CONTINUANCE OF  
DEADLINE TO FILE MOTION  
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL  
OF CLASS ACTION  
SETTLEMENT AS TO MRI  
INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND  
EDWIN FUJINAGA**

1 Plaintiffs Shige Takiguchi, et. al. and Defendants MRI International, Inc. (“MRI”) and Edwin  
2 Fujinaga (collectively the “Parties”) submit this stipulation for an order continuing the deadline for to file  
3 a motion for preliminary approval of class action settlement.

4 WHEREAS, on November 17, 2017 the Court ordered the Parties to file a motion for preliminary  
5 approval of class action settlement no later than December 11, 2017 (Dkt. 764);

6 WHEREAS, the Parties reached a settlement in principle in September 2017 and have exchanged  
7 drafts of the settlement agreement (“Class Action Settlement Agreement”);

8 WHEREAS, on November 17, 2017 Mr. Fujinaga raised, for the first time, his concern that, since  
9 a receiver has been appointed by the Court in the parallel U.S Securities and Exchange Commission’s  
10 action against MRI and himself (*SEC v. MRI International, Inc.*, USDC Nevada Case No. 2:13-cv-1658,  
11 Dkt. 226) (“SEC Action”), he believed that he may not be authorized to enter into any settlement on  
12 behalf of MRI or himself;

13 WHEREAS, on November 18, 2017, the Court appointed receiver in the SEC Action, Robb  
14 Evans & Associates, confirmed its belief to plaintiff’s counsel that Mr. Fujinaga was not authorized to  
15 enter into a settlement agreement with Plaintiffs and directed that Plaintiffs send a copy of the Class  
16 Action Settlement Agreement to the receiver’s counsel, Lynch Law Practice;

17 WHEREAS, on November 30, 2017, the Receiver declined to enter into the Class Action  
18 Settlement Agreement because he did not believe that the settlement would benefit the receivership  
19 estate;

20 WHEREAS, on November 30, 2017, and December 7, 2017, Plaintiffs’ counsel met and  
21 conferred with Receiver’s counsel, Michael Lynch, Esq., explaining that the order appointing receiver  
22 specifically includes a carve-out provision exempting the present action from the Receiver’s control and  
23 that, in any event, the settlement is in the best interest of all parties, including the receivership estate;

24 WHEREAS, the Receiver maintains its position that he is unable to authorize the settlement  
25 absent direction from the Court;

26 WHEREAS, on December 15, 2017, the Parties filed a joint motion in the SEC Action requesting  
27 direction from the Court, either that the Receiver lacks authority to direct the settlement in this action, or  
28 that Judge Mahan order the Receiver to enter into the Class Action Settlement Agreement;

1 WHEREAS, on December 22, 2017, the Receiver filed a response to the joint motion;  
2 WHEREAS, on January 8, 2018, the Parties filed a reply conveying this Court's strong belief that  
3 it is in the best interest of all the parties for Judge Mahan to approve the settlement;  
4 WHEREAS, Judge Mahan has not yet ruled on the joint motion; and  
5 WHEREAS, this is the Parties' third request for a continuance;  
6 NOW, therefore, the Parties jointly move that the Court enter an order providing for:

7 1. A 60-day continuance of the deadline to file a motion for preliminary approval to April  
8 17, 2018.

9 Dated: February 16, 2018

MANNING & KASS  
ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP

11 By: /s/ James Gibbons  
12 JAMES E. GIBBONS  
13 STEVEN J. RENICK  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

15 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT W. COHEN  
A Professional Corporation

17 By: /s/ Robert W. Cohen  
18 ROBERT W. COHEN  
19 MARIKO TAENAKA  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

20 HITZKE & FERRAN

22 By: /s/ Erick Ferran  
23 Erick Ferran  
24 Attorneys for Defendant MRI International,  
Inc. and Edwin Fujinaga

25 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: February 16, 2018



28 United States District Judge